

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/777,320	0	2/12/2004	Kenneth W. Cowans		5585	
	7590	05/10/2005	EXA		MINER	
Douglas R. Hanscom c/o Jones, Tullar & Cooper			TAPOLCAI, WILLIAM E			
P.O. Box 226				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(//					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	10/777,320	COWANS, KENNETH W.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	William E. Tapolcai	3744					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR is after SIX (9 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the resumm statutory perior Fellure to reply within the set or extended period for reply via provision above, the marchine state of the communication of the set	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M .136(a). In no event, however, may a r ply within the statutory minimum of thir d will apply end will expire SIX (6) MON decause the explication to become A8	ONTH(S) FROM sply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. AMPONED (35 U.S. C. § 133).					
earned patent term edjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27</u>	Anril 2005						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the men							
closed in accordance with the practice under							
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte quaylo, 1000 0.2	,					
Disposition of Claims			-				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> Is/are pending in the applicatic 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-19</u> Is/are withdre 5) □ Claim(s) <u> </u>	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on 's's'are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume *See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20040614.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/777,320

Art Unit: 3744

- Applicant's remarks regarding Groups I and II are persuasive. Thus, claims 1-15 will be examined together.
- Claims 16-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on April 27, 2005.
- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6 and 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wong et al. Wong et al is considered to disclose a first refrigeration system or module 12 for delivering a first chilled refrigerant, and a second refrigeration system or module 1 for delivering a second chilled refrigerant to a thermal transfer fluid 8, 9. However, Wong et al does not disclose the recited temperatures or the second refrigerant having a boiling point lower than the first refrigerant. The recited process temperatures are considered to be a matter of obvious choice to one of ordinary skill in the art, as no criticality or unexpected results are seen or have been disclosed for the range of temperatures recited in claim 1. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the refrigeration art would be able to achieve the recited temperature range without undue experimentation. Also, the recitation of the second refrigerant as having a lower boiling-point than the first refrigerant is considered to be a matter of obvious choice, as again

Application/Control Number: 10/777,320

Art Unit: 3744

no criticality or unexpected results are seen for that limitation. Again, one of ordinary skill in the refrigeration art, using only the disclosure of Wong et al would be able to use any combination of refrigerants necessary to achieve the desired result.

- 5. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. In claim 6, line 4, the question mark should be deleted.

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William E. Tapolcai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4814. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs. 6:30 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

William E. Tapolcai Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

wet May 5, 2005